



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/619,333	07/19/2000	Robert William Shideleff	SAR 13798	1162
28166	7590 05/18/2004		EXAMINER	
•	ATTERSON & SHER	MANNING, JOHN		
/SARNOFF	CORPORATION			
595 SHREWSBURY AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 100		,	2614	2
SHREWSBURY, NJ 07702			DATE MAILED: 05/18/200	y

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

3	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/619,333	SHIDELEFF ET AL.				
-	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John Manning	2614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 26 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>see attached</u> .						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:	92 mile					
JOHN MILLER						
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600						

Application/Control Number: 09/619,333

Art Unit: 2614

ADVISORY ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed April 26, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that "Bray fails to disclose a downstream receiver." Bray does, in fact, disclose a downstream receiver. "On-screen display (OSD) 22 responds to signals received from microprocessor 20. OSD+Video 24 then superimposes the signals from OSD 22 upon the video signal received from microprocessor 20. The audio and video portions of the signal are then available to the viewer by the means of video (RF) out 30, video out 32, audio (Left) out 34, and audio (Right) out 36" (Col 3, Lines 51-56). The video (RF) out 30, video out 32, audio (Left) out 34, and audio (Right) out 36 inherently goes to a downstream receiver. In order for the RF signals to be available to the use, there **must** be a downstream receiver.

The applicant continues to argue that the "system of Bray does not <u>prevent</u> further processing of an embedded portion of a television signal". Bray processes the embedded portion by "modifying the closed captioned signal in order to display only acceptable words or phrases" (Abstract). Bray **does** <u>prevent</u> further processing of an embedded portion of a television signal. "The closed caption data is stripped from the video signal by microprocessor 20 at step 128" (Col 4, Lines 8-9).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Manning whose telephone number is 703-305-

Application/Control Number: 09/619,333

Art Unit: 2614

0345. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 7:30 - 5:00 (off every other

Wednesday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, John W Miller can be reached on 703-305-4795. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-9695

for regular communications and 703-746-9695 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to customer service whose telephone number is (703)

308-HELP.

JM

May 15, 2004

Page 3